

Supreme Court of Florida

Main Press Page
Broadcasts of Arguments Including Archives

Oral Argument Press Summaries March 8 & 9, no cases on March 6, 7, and 10

Wednesday, March 8, 2023

SC22-735 Allstate Insurance Company, et al. v. Revival Chiropractic, LLC Begins at 9:00 a.m. ET

The United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit certifies a question to this Court about Florida's personal injury protection (PIP) law.

<u>SC21-1778 Leon Davis, Jr. v. State of Florida</u> & <u>SC22-882 Leon Davis, Jr. v. Ricky D. Dixon, Etc.</u> Polk County – begins about 9:40 a.m. Removed from calendar

Davis was convicted of the first-degree murders of Yvonne Bustamante and Juanita Luciano, who were doused with gas and set on fire in 2007. The jury unanimously recommended the death penalty for both murders, and the trial court sentenced him to death. This Court upheld his death sentence on direct appeal. Davis filed a postconviction challenge in the trial court, raising several issues. His claims were denied, and this appeal followed. Davis has also filed a habeas corpus petition.

-- 10-minute break -

SC21-1779 Leon Davis, Jr. v. State of Florida & SC22-883 Leon Davis, Jr. v. Ricky D. Dixon, Etc. Polk County – begins about 10:30 a.m. Removed from calendar

Davis was convicted of the first-degree murders of Pravinkumar Patel and Dashrath Patel, who were fatally shot in 2007. Davis waived his right to a jury recommendation, and the trial court sentenced him to death for both murders. This Court upheld his death sentence on direct appeal. Davis filed a postconviction challenge in the trial court, raising several issues. His claims were denied, and this appeal followed. Davis has also filed a habeas corpus petition.

Thursday, March 9, 2023

SC22-181 UniFirst Corporation v. Joey's New York Pizza, LLC, Etc.

Pasco County - Begins at 9:00 a.m. ET

UniFirst Corporation filed a lawsuit against Joey's New York Pizza, seeking to confirm \$46,000 awarded during arbitration proceedings. Joey's Pizza argued that UniFirst did not comply with certain notice requirements during the arbitration process and asked the trial court to vacate the award. The trial court vacated the award and ruled that UniFirst "may re-institute arbitration." UniFirst appealed the decision, and the Second District Court of Appeal dismissed the case. The Second DCA certified a conflict with another appellate court, and UniFirst asks this Court for review.

###